F. Anthony Edwards SBN 181606 LAW OFFICES OF F. ANTHONY EDWARDS

1850 Mt. Diablo Boulevard, Suite 650 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: 925-947-1600 Facsimile: 925-947-1990

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHARON DE EDWARDS, M.D., FACOG, INC., a corporation, and SHARON DE EDWARDS, M.D., as President and officer of the corporation.

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, KATHY JAKABCIN, JOSEPH SMITH, Revenue Officers, and Does I through 50, Inclusive.

Defendants,

Case No.: C07-3102-WHA

PLAINTIFF'S SUR REPLY MEMORANDUM TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Date: March 20, 2008 Time: 8:00 a.m.

Place: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor

PROCEDURAL SUMMARY

Defendant filed its Reply stating that Plaintiff did not follow th Court's order in serving her complaint. From Plaintiff's opposition, it is obvious that her counsel was unaware of the court order, which had been mis-filed. Plaintiff has already served the attorney general and the individual defendants. Therefore, Plaintiff request the Court to deny defendant's motion for dismissal.

26

27

Sur Reply Memorandum to Motion to Dismiss

28

1	LEGAL ARGUMENT
2	Plaintiff's alleged ineffective service does not warrant dismissal of her complaint
3	In Hunter v. Digital Equipment Corp. (9th Cir. 2005) 136 Fed. Appx. 28, the court stated
4	that in dismissing for failure to prosecute, five factors must be weigh ed:
5	1. Public Interest In Expeditious resolution of Litigation;
6	2. Court's need to Manage its Docket;
7	3. Risk of Prejudice to Defendant;
8	4. Availability of Less Drastic Alternative;
9	5. Public Policy Favoring Disposition of Cases on their Merits.
10	See also, Yourisk v. California Amplifier (9th Cir. 1999) 191 F. 3d. 983, 990.
11	None of the five factors supports dismissal of Plaintiff's complaint for alleged ineffective
12	service. Rather, the factor weigh in Plaintiff's favor.
13	CONCLUSION
14	For the reasons stated herein, Plaintiff request the Court to deny defendant's motion to
15	dismiss.
16	Respectfully Submitted,
17	
18	Dated: February 25, 2008 /S/ F. Anthony Edwards, Esq.
19	Attorney for Plaintiffs
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Sur Reply Memorandum to Motion to Dismiss
28	2